Sri K. P. GADAG.—Why action has not been taken to acquire these lands ?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—The acquisition proceedings are under operation. All steps are taken to expeidite the acquistion proceedings.

† Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—From Gadag to Bhanapur there is no difficulty because it is a question of widening the road. The question of improving the existing District Board road has engaged the attention of Government. Plans and estimates are under scrutiny. Agency is being fixed for collection of materials and survey work is being done.

Sri K. P. GADAG.—May I know whether proceedings to acquire land on both sides of the road have been started or not ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Acquisition proceedings are under way but it need not come in the way of the progress of the work.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—In view of the importance of the roads for carrying manganese ore and iron ore will they be made ready for traffic very early ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Instructions have been issued that they should be made ready for normal traffic by the end of the year.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Is it by the end of December 59 ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA—No, by the end of 31st March 1960.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಕೆ. ಕಂಬ್ಳಿ.—(ಬ) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ "ಹೌದು" ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈ ಕೆಲಸ ನಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇನ್ನೆಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸಗಳಾಗುತ್ತವೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅದು ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ಮುಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇನ್ನೆಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲಸವೇನೋ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗಿ ಈಗ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಆ ರೀತಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ನಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ, ಇನ್ನೂ ಅಕ್ಸಿಸಿಷ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರೊಸಿಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುವುದು ಒಂದು, ಎರಡನೆಯದು ಅಲೈನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಅಜ್ಜೆರು ಗೊಳಿಸಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಇರುವುದು—ಇದರಿಂದ ಆ ಕೆಲಸ ನಿಧಾನವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಇತರ ಭಾಗಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಭರದಿಂದ ಸಾಗುತ್ತಿವೆ.

Grant of Loan and Subsidy for sinking Wells for Irrigation of Mulberry Gardens.

*Q.—279. Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA (Palaiyam).—

Will the Government be pleased to State :—

(*a*) whether it has been the policy of the Government since three years past to grant 50 per cent as subsidy and 50 per cent as loan for sinking wells in rain-fed areas for providing irrigation facilities for mulberry gardens ;

(*b*) whether the Government has now decided to adopt a new policy of granting a fixed sum of Rs. 1,000 as subsidy ; and if so, the reasons therefor ?

*A.—Sri H. LINGA REDDY (Deputy Minister for Planning and Development).—

(*a*) During the years 1957-58 and 1958-59 financial assistance comprising of 50 per cent subsidy and 50 per cent loan was given to the Sericulturists of the rain-fed area for the purpose.

(*b*) In order to rationalise the financial assistance for sinking of wells in the area it has been decided to give, during 1959-60, loans and subsidies as indicated below for the wells to be sanctioned :—

For wells serving an area of	*Loan*	*Subsidy*
Between ½ and 1 acre	... 1,000	500
Above 1 and up to 2 acres	... 2,000	750
Exceeding 2 acres	... 4,000	1,000

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that the entire cost to sink these wells is borne by the Central Silk Board ?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Yes.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it not the intention of the Central Silk Board that at least 50 per cent of the estimated cost of the well should be by way of aid ?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Formerly the scheme was that this sum of Rs. 3,000 should be as subsidy and Rs. 3,000 as loan. But the opinion of the Central Silk Board was that we should rationalise the present system in order to enable us to give loans to small holders.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know the amount that was earmarked for this purpose for the last three years and what was the amount actually spent?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—One lakh in 57-58 and one lakh during the subsequent year. Eighty-six thousand rupees each year have been spent.

Sri B. L. NARAYANASWAMY.—Is the scheme applicable to Kolar District?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—It has not been made applicable to Kolar because it was applicable only to the rain-fed area. We now intend to apply it to that district also.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—There was a lacuna in the very wording of the scheme. Every area is rain-fed. There was also criticism in the Advisory Committee of the State and also on the floor of this House. Therefore it was incumbent on the Government to rationalise the whole procedure. Now it is applicable to the whole State.

Sri D. ABDUL RASHEED.—May I know whether orders have been issued to that effect?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Orders have already been issued making it applicable to the whole State except to the seed area where a separate scheme is under operation. There is no loan but only subsidy.

Sri D. ABDUL RASHEED.—What is the scale of subsidy?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I want notice.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—It was only Rs. 500 formerly. This time it has been raised to Rs. 500, 750 and 1,000 depending upon the acreage.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎ. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿ ಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಉಪ್ಪು ನೇರಳೆಯ ತೋಟಗಳಿಗೆ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೋಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಹಾಯ ದ್ರವ್ಯವನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಪ್ರೊಪೋರ್ಷನ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಮೊದಲು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವ ಸ್ಕೀಮೂ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಇನ್ಯಾಷನಲೈಜ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಏಸ್ತೀರ್ಣದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ 500, 750, ಮತ್ತು 1,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಂತೆ ಸಬ್ಸಿಡಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಮೊದಲಿನಿಂದಲೂ ಲೋಕ್ ಸ್ಕೀಮ್ ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎ. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿ ಗೌಡ.—ಕೇವಲ 500, 750, ಮತ್ತು 1,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಸಬ್ಸಿಡಿ ಈಗ ಕೊಡುವುದನ್ನು ಇನ್ನೂ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡಲಕ್ಕೆಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇಷ್ಟರ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟೋ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಯಿತು.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—The Hon'ble Minister said that the whole scheme had been rationalised. May I know on what basis this was fixed? Does it bear any reasonable proportion to the total expenditure involved in sinking these wells?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—To the extent possible we have rationalised it on the basis of acreage and the yield of mulberry.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—The Hon'ble Deputy Minister was pleased to say that out of one lakh only eighty thousand was spent. May I know why it was not spent? Were there not a sufficient number of applications?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—The construction of wells out of the balance amount is under different stages. Immediately wells are completed the subsidy will be paid.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮ ರಾವ್.—ಈಗ ತಾವು ಕೊಡುವ ಸಾಲದ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ಇದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಹತ್ತು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ವರೆಗೆ. ಶೇಕಡ 5ರಂತೆ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಸಬ್ಸಿಡಿಯನ್ನು ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಸಬ್ಸಿಡಿಯನ್ನು ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗಳು ಈಚೆಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಫಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡದೇ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ವರ್ಷದ ಆಖೈರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಮೂಲಕವೇ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಹಂಚಲು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಈ ಸಬ್ಸಿಡಿಯನ್ನು ರೇಷ್ಮೆ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವ ಯೋಚನೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಡುವ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಿ ಬರಿಯ ಸಹಾಯ ದ್ರವ್ಯವನ್ನೇ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—1960ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 3ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ವರೆಗೆ ಈಗ ಇರುವಂತೆಯೇ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರಬೇಕೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ಮೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.—ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಬಹಳ ಜಾಗ್ರತೆಯಾಗಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಈ ಬಾಬಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಆಮೇಲೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are the Government aware that the Central Silk Board are quite willing to finance to any extent possible in this particular matter? Secondly are the Government aware that in fixing subsidy there has been a lot of laxity and favouritism?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I thank the Hon'ble Member for the question. It is on account of this that we have rationalised it in this manner.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Has it come to the notice of Government that even in the matter of fixing the quantum of subsidy in a number of cases there has been discrimination? If you want, I will give instances.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Such a criticism was voiced even in the State Advisory Committee. Therefore Government thought over the matter and rationalised it.

Sri D. ABDUL RASHEED.—In view of the importance of the seed area, will the Government meet the entire cost estimated for sinking wells in seed area?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—It is difficult for us. Even for foodgrains only Rs. 250 is given; but the Central Silk Board was generous to give more.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Having followed a certain policy during the last three years in the Second Five Year Plan, may I know the special necessity to change that?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Because of the criticisms and the instances pointed out by Sri Mallaradhya we had to revise the whole thing in consultation with the Central Silk Board.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that the cost of sinking wells would come to more than 500 rupees.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—After all, a thousand rupees is a great sum for commercial crops; if we remember that for food crops we give only Rs. 250. That is why there were some criticisms and we are rationalising it.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—If the Government comes to know that the number of persons seeking aid would be less, would they try to change their policy?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I ascertained public opinion. I put this proposition in every meeting I addressed. All of them have welcomed this.

Principles governing the use of Cars allotted to Ministers.

*Q.—322. **Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA** (Gubbi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the policy of the Government in allotting Government cars to the Ministers; and the conditions governing the use of such cars;

(*b*) whether there are any instances of Ministers having misused Government cars under their control;

(*c*) whether it is not a fact that the car allotted to the Minister for Co-operation is used by his daughter and son-in-law who is employed in Hindustan Aircraft Factory;

(*d*) whether it is not a fact that the car of the Minister for Co-operation was used by his daughter and son-in-law outside Bangalore four times, and if so, the distance covered by the car in these journeys;

(*e*) whether it is not also a fact that the car of the Minister for Co-operation is used by his son-in-law to go to the factory every day when the Minister is at Headquarters?

*A.—**Sri B. D. JATTI** (Chief Minister).

(*a*) Under Section 5 (i) of the Mysore Ministers Salaries and Allowances Act, 1956, cars are provided for Ministers for use in the proper discharge of their duties and responsibilities.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) No.

(*e*) No.